

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/765,258 | 01/26/2004 | Randy S. Beals | 706501US2 | 9583 |
| 75 | 90 06/17/2004 | | EXAM | INER |
| Donald J. Wallace | | | IP, SIKYIN | |
| DaimlerChrysle | r Intellectual Capital Corp | ooration | | <u> </u> |
| CIMS 483-02-19 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| 800 Chrysler Drive | | | 1742 | |
| Auburn Hills, MI 48326-2757 | | | DATE MAILED: 06/17/2004 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| | 10/765,258 | BEALS, RANDY S. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Sikyin Ip | 1742 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | i6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days iil apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 Ja | nuary 2004. | | | | | |
| | action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowan | ce except for formal matters, pro | secution as to the merits is | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E | x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45 | 3 O.G. 213. | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner | | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce | | xaminer. | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the d | • | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction | on is required if the drawing(s) is obje | ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa | aminer. Note the attached Office | Action or form PTO-152. | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign pall All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents | have been received. | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori | * * | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau | | an the tradefial olage | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| | • | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s)) | 4) 🔲 Interview Summary (l | | | | | |
| Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 01/26/04 | Paper No(s)/Mail Dat 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other: | | | | | |
| Deleted Testandor | | | | | | |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Application/Control Number: 10/765,258 Page 2

Art Unit: 1742

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement filed January 26, 2004, fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(b), which requires each U.S. patent listed in an information disclosure statement shall be identified by patentee, patent number and issue date. Each foreign patent or published foreign patent application shall be identified by the country or patent office which issued the patent or published the application, an appropriate document number, and the publication date indicated on the patent or published application. Each publication shall be identified by author (if any), title, relevant pages of the publication, date, and place of publication. The document(s) failed to comply 37 CFR 1.98(b) has/have been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has/have not been considered.

Applicant is advised that the date of any re-submission of any item of information contained in this information disclosure statement or the submission of any missing element(s) will be the date of submission for purposes of determining compliance with the requirements based on the time of filing the statement, including all certification requirements for statements under 37 CFR 1.97(e). See MPEP § 609 ¶ C(1).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Application/Control Number: 10/765,258

Art Unit: 1742

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Page 3

Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by EP1127950 (page 7, embodiment 15, for example).

Claims 1-3 and 6-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by USP 4997622 to Regazzoni et al (test no. 7 and 12).

The claimed Sn, Zn, and REM are considered as optional elements since their contents include zero.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The factual inquiries set forth in Graham v. John Deere Co., 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Art Unit: 1742

Claims 4-5 and 8-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over USP 4997622 to Regazzoni et al (col. 1, lines 5-25), or

Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over EP 1127950 ([0018] and [0032]), or

Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over JP 09316586 (abstract) or JP 09316586 (abstract).

Cited references disclose(s) the features including the claimed Mg based alloy compositions. When prior art compounds essentially "bracketing" the claimed compounds in structural similarity are all known, one of ordinary skill in the art would clearly be motivated to make those claimed compounds in searching for new products in the expectation that compounds similar in structure will have similar properties. In re Gyurik, 596 F.2d 1012, 1018, 201 USPQ 552, 557 (CCPA 1979); See In re May, 574 F.2d 1082, 1094, 197 USPQ 601, 611 (CCPA 1978) and In re Hoch, 57 CCPA 1292, 1296, 428 F.2d 1341, 1344, 166 USPQ 406, 409 (1970). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to select any portion of range, including the claimed range, from the broader range disclosed in a prior art reference because the prior art reference finds that the prior art composition in the entire disclosed range has a suitable utility. Also see MPEP § 2131.03 and § 2123.

The claimed Sn, Zn, and REM are optional elements that they are not required to disclose by cited references.

Art Unit: 1742

Conclusion

The above rejection relies on the reference(s) for all the teachings expressed in the text(s) of the references and/or one of ordinary skill in the metallurgical art would have reasonably understood or implied from the text(s) of the reference(s). To emphasize certain aspect(s) of the prior art, only specific portion(s) of the text(s) have been pointed out. Each reference as a whole should be reviewed in responding to the rejection, since other sections of the same reference and/or various combination of the cited references may be relied on in future rejection(s) in view of amendment(s).

All recited limitations in the instant claims have been meet by the rejections as set forth above.

Applicant is reminded that when amendment and/or revision is required, applicant should therefore specifically point out the support for any amendments made to the disclosure. See 37 C.F.R. § 1.121.

Examiner Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to S. Ip whose telephone number is (571) 272-1241. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 5:30 A.M. to 2:00 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dr. Roy V. King, can be reached on (571)-272-1244.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SIKYIN IP PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 1742

S. Ip June 12, 2004